



B O A R D O F S T U D I E S
NEW SOUTH WALES

HSC Visual Arts
Marking Guidelines — Artmaking – Body of Work

Artmaking – Body of Work

HSC examination overview

The HSC examination in Visual Arts consists of a written examination, worth 50 marks, in Art Criticism and Art History, and the submission of a Body of Work, worth 50 marks, for Artmaking.

Task: Artmaking – Body of Work (50 marks)

Students will submit a Body of Work as a compulsory part of the examination. In this Body of Work they should demonstrate their understanding of artmaking as a practice and represent their ideas and interests through their interpretation of subject matter and use of expressive forms. They should consider their own resolve in selecting works for examination with a view to representing a coherent point of view and in relation to the conceptual strength and meaning of works produced. The Body of Work must be conceived of, and executed, by the student under the supervision of the Visual Arts teacher.

The Body of Work must comply with the overall prescribed dimensions for HSC submitted artworks in Visual Arts Stage 6 in terms of overall limitations on size, weight, volume and duration as set out below.

Assessment criteria

- Conceptual strength and meaning
- Resolution

Outcomes assessed: H1, H2, H3, H4, H5, H6

MARKING GUIDELINES

Criteria	Marks
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstrates an articulation of ideas and concepts that are elaborated, reiterated, subtle and sustained coherently in the form(s) of the work. Meanings make significant references and register on a number of levels • Displays technical sensitivity, refinement, discrimination, moderation, and is respectful of the conditions set by the selection of materials and limitations including course prescriptions 	41–50
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstrates an articulation of ideas and concepts showing some elaboration and reiteration that is more coherent and subtle in some aspects of the work than in others. Meanings and references register on a number of levels but are not as significant • Displays technical sensitivity and moderation, although some aspects are more refined while others are elaborated and/or overworked. Generally respectful of conditions set by the selection of materials and limitations including course prescriptions 	31–40
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstrates an articulation of idea/concept showing some connection that is more apt and coherent in some aspects of the work than in others. Meanings and references register on some levels but in limited ways • Displays technical proficiency yet not very sensitive or refined. Some display for display's sake, thus little moderation. Some respect for conditions set by the selection of materials and limitations including course prescriptions 	21–30
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstrates an articulation of idea/concept confined to some aspect(s) of the work. Meanings and references register in restricted and obvious ways • Displays little refinement or subtlety. Some repetition or inconsistent application. Little discrimination or moderation, limited respect for conditions set by the selection of materials and limitations including course prescriptions 	11–20
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstrates a simplistic, immediate articulation of idea/concept. Meanings register in banal ways. References are limited, driven by the image • Displays neither technical accomplishment nor moderation. Unsubtle, unrefined, incongruous, superficial. At variance with conditions set by selection of materials and limitations including course prescriptions 	1–10